#### Gilbert High

840 Main Street Gilbert, SC 29054

Grades 9-12 High School

**Enrollment** 842 Students

Principal Paul R. Shealy 803-892-1100

**Superintendent** Dr. Karen C. Woodward 803–951–8363

Board Chair Albert J. Dooley Jr. 803–359–0844

### THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

# <del>2006</del>

ANNUAL SCHOOL

## **У**REPORT CARD

#### ABSOLUTE RATING

EXCELLENT

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

7 11 3 0 0

#### IMPROVEMENT RATING

EXCELLENT

The school's Improvement rating was raised one level because of substantial improvement in the achievement of students belonging to historically underachieving groups of students.

#### ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

YES

This school met 13 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

#### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org Gilbert High 10/30/06 3201001

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD							
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress				
2003	Excellent	Good	No				
2004	Excellent	Excellent	Yes				
2005	Excellent	Excellent	Yes				
2006	Excellent	Excellent	Yes				

#### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS									
		Our School		High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006			
Passed 2 subtests	84.4	82.6	82.4	82.2	80.0	77.6			
Passed 1 subtest	7.8	10.8	7.2	10.0	10.8	11.3			
Passed no subtests	7.8	6.6	10.4	8.5	9.2	12.1			

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	94.9%	93.8%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP							
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	23.4	20.4					
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	26.9	21.3					
Seniors who met the grade point average	50.3	52.3					

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	193	359
Number of Diplomas	166	251
Rate	86.0%	71.6%

Gilbert High 10/30/06 3201001

END OF COURSE TESTS							
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	81.9	81.0					
English 1	65.4	71.3					
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	73.2	69.8					
Physical Science	63.1	55.9					
All Subjects	71.0	70.0					

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROU	JPS							
	HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006		Eligibility Schola		Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	195	94.9	175	23.4	166	86.0	Yes	
Gender								
Male	90	94.4	81	29.6	92	84.8	N/A	
Female	105	95.2	94	18.1	101	87.1	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	173	95.4	154	23.4	174	85.1	N/A	
African American	9	88.9	11	9.1	8	100.0	N/A	
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	100.0	4	50.0	3	100.0	N/A	
Hispanic	10	90.0	5	20.0	7	85.7	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	178	98.3	163	25.2	177	87.6	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	17	58.8	12	0.0	16	68.8	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	195	94.9	175	23.4	193	86.0	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	193	94.8	175	23.4	191	85.9	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	58	93.1	63	81.0	63	81.0	N/A	
Full-pay meals	137	95.6	125	28.8	130	88.5	N/A	

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

10/30/06 3201001

					VC:			

	/ ½	~/	/ / :	ૄ /	/,	. / .	,   E	رو / چَوَ	*/=
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Baci.	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced of	Performance Objective	Participation Objective M
	1 1 5	* / *	mg	/ g	/ ¿	§		j / f j	
	(F)	./ %	/ å	/ %	1 %	/ %	1 4 8	[ ] # B	\\ \text{\mathred{B}}
	1 2			_	1	,	00/	<i> </i>	
All Students	nglish/Lan 223	guage An 97.8	s - State 11.1	32.7	nce Obje	23.1	65.9	Yes	Yes
Gender	223	91.0	11.1	32.7	33.2	23.1	00.9	res	res
Male	109	96.3	14.9	44.6	28.7	11.9	52.5	N/A	N/A
Female	114	99.1	7.5	21.5	37.4	33.6	78.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	114	33.1	7.5	21.0	37.4	33.0	70.5	IN/A	IN//A
White	196	98.0	8.2	30.8	35.7	25.3	70.3	Yes	Yes
African American	16	100.0	31.3	50.0	12.5	6.3	31.3	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	'	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/0	1/5	1/5	1/0	1/0
Not Disabled	201	97.5	5.9	32.6	36.4	25.1	72.2	N/A	N/A
Disabled	22	100.0	57.1	33.3	4.8	4.8	9.5	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status		100.0	07.1	00.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	1/0	1/0
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	223	97.8	11.1	32.7	33.2	23.1	65.9	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	ZZZ	01.0	11.1	02.7	00.2	20.1	00.0	14/7	14//
Limited English Proficient	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	217	97.7	9.9	32.2	34.2	23.8	67.8	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status				3-1-1					
Subsidized meals	80	98.8	15.3	45.8	29.2	9.7	48.6	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	143	97.2	8.8	25.7	35.3	30.1	75.0	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati	•	•	•	•	•			
All Students	223	97.3	11.1	35.7	34.3	18.8	66.2	Yes	Yes
Gender	223	31.5	11.1	33.7	34.0	10.0	00.2	163	163
Male	109	96.3	10.9	45.5	29.7	13.9	57.4	N/A	N/A
Female	114	98.2	11.3	26.4	38.7	23.6	74.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	114	JU.2	11.0	20.4	00.7	20.0	74.0	14// (	14// (
White	196	97.4	8.3	35.4	35.9	20.4	70.7	Yes	Yes
African American	16	100.0	31.3	37.5	18.8	12.5	31.3	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status		.,,,	.,5	.,5	.,,,	.,5	.,5	.,5	.,3
Not Disabled	201	97.0	7.0	34.9	37.6	20.4	72.6	N/A	N/A
Disabled	22	100.0	47.6	42.9	4.8	4.8	9.5	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	223	97.3	11.1	35.7	34.3	18.8	66.2	N/A	N/A
E I'I B C'									

English Proficiency Limited English Proficient

Full-pay meals

Non-Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

6

217

I/S

97.2

97.5

I/S

10.0

19.7

I/S

35.3

45.1

I/S

35.3

25.4

I/S

9.9

19.4

I/S

67.7

52.1

I/S

N/A

Yes

I/S

N/A

Yes

N/A

Gilbert High 10/30/06 3201001

Students (n=342)   Retention rate   9.1%   Up from 7.3%   6.8%   7.0%   7.0%   11.0%   7.9%   11.0%   7.9%   11.0%	SCHOOL PROFILE				
Retention rate				Schools with Students	High
Attendance rate	Students (n= 842)			,	
Eligible for gifted and talented					
With disabilities other than speech         10.7%         Up from 10.3%         10.7%         12.3%           Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses         1.5%         Up from 5.9%         6.9%         9.5%           Enrolled in AP/IB programs         10.6%         Down from 11.7%         17.6%         11.2%           Successful on AP/IB exams         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A           Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*         23.4%         N/A         N/A         N/A           Annual dropout rate         1.6%         Down from 2.2%         2.4%         2.8%           Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations         15.9%         Down from 16.1%         0.2%         3.5%           Enrollment in career/technology center courses         20         Up from 7         707         448           Career/technology students mastering core competencies         81.4%         Down from 9.6%         23.2%         24.2%           Career/technology completers placed         95.5%         Down from 95.6%         100.0%         99.1%           Valung only SATACT and Grade Point Average requirements.         10.0%         10.0%         10.0%         10.0%           Career/technology completers placed         95.5%         Down			•		
Older than usual for grade					,
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent & for criminal offenses for violent & for criminal offenses         1.5%         Up from 0.2%         1.3%         1.2%           Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams         10.6%         Down from 11.7%         17.6%         11.2%           Successful on AP/IB exams         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A           Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*         23.4%         1.6%         Down from 2.2%         2.4%         2.8%           Annual dropout rate         15.9%         Down from 2.2%         2.4%         2.8%           Annual dropout rate         15.9%         Down from 16.1%         0.2%         2.5%           Career/fechnology students in core-curricular organizations         527         Up from 7         707         448           Students participating in worked-based experiences         8.1%         Down from 9.6%         23.2%         24.2%           Career/fechnology students mastering core competencies         87.4%         Up from 71.4%         78.8%         80.0%           Career/fechnology completers placed "Using only StrIAC" and Grade Point Average requirements.         95.5%         Down from 9.6%         23.2%         24.2%           Vising only StrIAC and Grade Point Average requirements.         10.0%         10.0%         10.0% </td <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td>	-		•		
Successful on AP/IB exams	Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions		-		
Annual dropout rate					
Annual dropout rate	Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	23.4%		19.0%	10.2%
Co-curricular organizations Enrollment in career/technology center courses Students participating in worked-based experiences Career/technology students mastering core competencies Career/technology completers placed 'Using only SATIACT and Grade Point Average requirements.  Teachers (n=68)  Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers N/AV Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers with emergency or provisional certificates Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate 11.8 days Prof. development days/teacher 11.8 days School  Principal's years at school Prime instructional time Poportunities in the arts Percent of expenditures for instruction* Career/technology completers placed 87.4% Up from 7  Down from 9.6% 23.2% 24.2% 24.2% 24.2% 24.2% 24.2% 25.2% 24.2% 24.2% 25.2% 24.2% 24.2% 25.2% 24.2% 25.2% 24.2% 25.2% 24.2% 24.2% 25.2% 26.2%		1.6%	Down from 2.2%	2.4%	2.8%
Students participating in worked-based experiences Career/technology students mastering core competencies Career/technology completers placed 95.5% Down from 95.6% 100.0% 99.1% Valsing only SATIACT and Grade Point Average requirements.  Valsing onlt and Grade Point Average requirements.  Valsing onlt and		15.9%	Down from 16.1%	0.2%	3.5%
experiences Career/technology students mastering core competencies Career/technology completers placed *Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirements.    Pachers (In=63)		527	Up from 7	707	448
Career/technology completers placed *Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirements.  Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers N/AV Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate 91.8% Down from 95.6%  Lyp from 1.8% Down from 96.0% Sp.0% Sp.					
*Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirements.    Teachers with advanced degrees   57.4%   Down from 65.0%   59.0%   55.5%		87.4%	Up from 71.4%	78.8%	80.0%
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers N/AV Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate Teacher attendance	* Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem		Down from 95.6%	100.0%	99.1%
Continuing contract teachers N/AV Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers  Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates  Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate 91.8% Down from 90.2% 89.0% 86.3% Average teacher salary \$43,058 Down 2.1% \$43,669 \$42,943 Prof. development days/teacher 11.8 days Down from 12.1 days 11.6 days 11.2 days  School  Principal's years at school 7.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 23.4 to 1 Prime instructional time 85.5% Down from 90.5% 90.0% 89.3% Bown from 90.5% 90.0% 89.3% 90.0% 9		F7 40/	Davin fram 05 00/	50.00/	FF F0/
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers  Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates  Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate  Teacher attendance rate  Teacher attendance rate  187.5%  Down from 90.2%  Second  Principal's years at school  Prime instructional time  Down from 90.5%  Down from 90.2%  Teacher attendance rate  91.8%  Down from 96.2%  Provent of expenditures for teacher subjects  Percent of expenditures for instruction*  Down from 90.2%  Teacher attendance rate  91.8%  Down from 90.2%  Second  Principal's years at school  Tour from 12.1 days  Teacher attendance rate  11.8 days  Teacher attendance rate  91.8%  Down from 90.2%  Second  Tour from 12.1 days  Teacher attendance rate  11.8 days  Teacher attendance rate  12.1 days  Teacher attendance rate  12.1 days  Teacher attendance ratendance rate  12.1 days  Teacher attendance ratendance rate ratendance rat			DOWN HOM 65.0%		
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates         14.8%         Up from 1.8%         5.9%         9.9%           Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate         87.5%         Down from 90.2%         89.0%         86.3%           Average teacher salary         \$43,058         Down from 96.2%         95.7%         95.3%           Average teacher salary         \$43,058         Down from 12.1 days         \$43,669         \$42,943           Prof. development days/teacher         11.8 days         Down from 12.1 days         11.6 days         11.2 days           School         7.0         Up from 6.0         4.0         3.0           Student-teacher ratio in core subjects         23.4 to 1         Down from 90.5%         90.0%         89.3%           Prime instructional time         85.5%         Down from 90.5%         90.0%         89.3%           Down from 90.5%         90.0%         89.3%           Down from 90.5%         90.0%         89.3%           Down from 90.5%         \$5,783         \$6,792           Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*         60.2%         57.7%         55.3%           Percent of expenditures for instruction*         62.8%         0.0         62.0%         61.1%           Opportunities in the arts<	Classes not taught by highly qualified		N/A		
Teacher attendance rate         91.8%         Down from 96.2%         95.7%         95.3%           Average teacher salary         \$43,058         Down 2.1%         \$43,669         \$42,943           Prof. development days/teacher         11.8 days         Down from 12.1 days         11.6 days         11.2 days           School           Principal's years at school         7.0         Up from 6.0         4.0         3.0           Student-teacher ratio in core subjects         23.4 to 1         Up from 23.3 to 1         27.7 to 1         25.7 to 1           Prime instructional time         85.5%         Down from 90.5%         90.0%         89.3%           Pollars spent per pupil*         \$7,741         Down 5.6%         \$5,783         \$6,792           Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*         60.2%         Up from 55.0%         57.7%         55.3%           Percent of expenditures for instruction*         62.8%         62.0%         61.1%           Opportunities in the arts         Excellent         No change         Excellent           Parents attending conferences         95.7%         Down from 97.9%         92.9%         92.8%           SACS accreditation         Yes         No change         Good         Good         Good	Teachers with emergency or provisional	14.8%	Up from 1.8%	5.9%	9.9%
Teacher attendance rate         91.8%         Down from 96.2%         95.7%         95.3%           Average teacher salary         \$43,058         Down 2.1%         \$43,669         \$42,943           Prof. development days/teacher         11.8 days         Down from 12.1 days         11.6 days         11.2 days           School           Principal's years at school         7.0         Up from 6.0         4.0         3.0           Student-teacher ratio in core subjects         23.4 to 1         Up from 23.3 to 1         27.7 to 1         25.7 to 1           Prime instructional time         85.5%         Down from 90.5%         90.0%         89.3%           Pollars spent per pupil*         \$7,741         Down 5.6%         \$5,783         \$6,792           Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*         60.2%         57.7%         55.3%           Percent of expenditures for instruction*         62.8%         62.0%         61.1%           Opportunities in the arts         Excellent         No change         Excellent         Excellent           Parents attending conferences         95.7%         Down from 97.9%         92.9%         92.8%           SACS accreditation         Yes         No change         Yes         Yes           Character	Teachers returning from previous year	87.5%	Down from 90.2%	89.0%	86.3%
Prof. development days/teacher         11.8 days         Down from 12.1 days         11.6 days         11.2 days           School         Principal's years at school         7.0         Up from 6.0         4.0         3.0           Student-teacher ratio in core subjects         23.4 to 1         Up from 23.3 to 1         27.7 to 1         25.7 to 1           Prime instructional time         85.5%         Down from 90.5%         90.0%         89.3%           Dollars spent per pupil*         \$7,741         Down 5.6%         \$5,783         \$6,792           Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*         60.2%         Up from 55.0%         57.7%         55.3%           Percent of expenditures for instruction*         62.8%         0.2%         61.1%           Opportunities in the arts         Excellent         No change         Excellent         Excellent           Parents attending conferences         95.7%         Down from 97.9%         92.9%         92.8%           SACS accreditation         Yes         No change         Yes         Yes           Character development         Good         No change         Good         Good           *Prior year audited financial data are reported.         Our District         State		91.8%	Down from 96.2%	95.7%	95.3%
School           Principal's years at school         7.0         Up from 6.0         4.0         3.0           Student-teacher ratio in core subjects         23.4 to 1         Up from 23.3 to 1         27.7 to 1         25.7 to 1           Prime instructional time         85.5%         Down from 90.5%         90.0%         89.3%           Dollars spent per pupil*         \$7,741         Down 5.6%         \$5,783         \$6,792           Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*         60.2%         Up from 55.0%         57.7%         55.3%           Percent of expenditures for instruction*         62.8%         62.0%         61.1%           Opportunities in the arts         Excellent         No change         Excellent         Excellent           Parents attending conferences         95.7%         No change         92.9%         92.8%           SACS accreditation         Yes         No change         Yes         Yes           Character development         Good         Good         Good         Good           *Prior year audited financial data are reported.         Our District         State				+ ,	\$42,943
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects Prime instructional time Pollars spent per pupil* Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* Percent of expenditures for instruction* Percent of expenditures for instruction* Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation SACS accreditation Principal's years at school Prior year audited financial data are reported.  7.0 Up from 6.0 4.0 3.0 Down from 90.5% Pown from 95.0% Pown from 95.0% Prior year audited financial data are reported.  85.7% Percent of expenditures for instruction* Pown from 97.9% Pown from 90.5%	Prof. development days/teacher	11.8 days	Down from 12.1 days	11.6 days	11.2 days
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects  23.4 to 1  Prime instructional time  85.5%  Down from 90.5%  Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*  Percent of expenditures for instruction*  Opportunities in the arts  Parents attending conferences  SACS accreditation  Character development  Prior year audited financial data are reported.  23.4 to 1  Up from 23.3 to 1  27.7 to 1  25.7	School				
Prime instructional time 85.5% Down from 90.5% 90.0% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$7,741 Down 5.6% \$5,783 \$6,792 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* Percent of expenditures for instruction* 62.8% Opportunities in the arts Excellent Parents attending conferences 95.7% SACS accreditation Yes Character development Good Prior year audited financial data are reported.					0.0
Dollars spent per pupil* \$7,741 Down 5.6% \$5,783 \$6,792  Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*  Percent of expenditures for instruction* 62.8%  Opportunities in the arts Excellent Parents attending conferences 95.7%  SACS accreditation Yes Character development Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported.  \$7,741 Down 5.6% \$5,783 \$6,792  Up from 55.0% 57.7% 55.3%  62.8%  No change Excellent Excellent Excellent Down from 97.9% 92.9% 92.8%  No change Yes Yes Character development Good Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported.	•		•		
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*  Percent of expenditures for instruction*  Opportunities in the arts  Parents attending conferences  SACS accreditation  Character development  Prior year audited financial data are reported.  E0.2%  Up from 55.0%  57.7%  62.8%  No change  Excellent  Down from 97.9%  Prior year audited financial data are reported.  Excellent  Down from 97.9%  Prior year audited financial data are reported.  Our District  State					
salaries*  Percent of expenditures for instruction*  Opportunities in the arts  Excellent Parents attending conferences  95.7%  Down from 97.9%  92.9%  92.8%  SACS accreditation  Yes Character development  *Prior year audited financial data are reported.  *Prior year audited financial data are reported.  **  Our District  State		. ,			. ,
Opportunities in the arts Excellent Parents attending conferences 95.7% Down from 97.9% 92.9% 92.8% SACS accreditation Yes Character development Good Prior year audited financial data are reported.	salaries*		Up πom 55.0%		
Parents attending conferences 95.7% Down from 97.9% 92.9% 92.8% SACS accreditation Yes Character development Good No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported.	·		NI. diamen		
SACS accreditation Yes Character development Good No change Yes Good Good  *Prior year audited financial data are reported.  Our District State			· ·		
Character development Good No change Good Good * Prior year audited financial data are reported.  Our District State	•				
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.  Our District State			· ·		
	Classes in low poverty schools not taught by high	v qualified teach			State 6.2%

Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers

Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers

Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers

N/A

10.2%

State Objective

Met State Objective

Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school

O.0%

No

Student attendance in this school

or greater than last year

Abbreviations for Missing Data

Gilbert High 10/30/06 3201001

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

This past school year our students and staff adopted the theme Follow Your Star and their achievements this year made Gilbert High shine. GHS earned an Excellent rating in both Absolute and Improvement ratings on the 2005 School Report Card. The State Department recognized GHS as a top-performing school for having Excellent ratings for three years. Students excelled in graduation rates and college entrance test scores. More students than ever took Advanced Placement (AP) classes and nine GHS students qualified as Palmetto Fellows, the highest number of students ever at GHS.

Fine Arts programs performed exceptionally. Our chorus won first place at the State Choral Festival. The Gilbert Band Program received the prestigious S.C. Outstanding Performance Award presented by the S.C. Band Directors Association. Gilbert's Marching Band captured second place in State AA competition for the third year.

Athletics enjoyed a banner year. Varsity baseball took the AA State Championship. Varsity competition cheerleaders took fourth place at state. The volleyball team advanced into the third round of playoffs. Both boys' and girls' tennis teams won region titles. The girls soccer team was ranked in the top 10. The boys' basketball team thrilled us with an exciting season. The boys' cross country team finished fourth and the girls' cross country team finished seventh in the state. The boys' soccer team had a first-round match. GHS's first-ever Wrestling team took six matches.

Our Health Occupations Students of America (HOSA) competed statewide and 13 of our students took first-place awards. These students also qualified for national competition in California. In fact, we had more competitors than any other S.C. school!

The High Schools That Work curriculum has helped us raise performance expectations for students. We emphasized mathematics throughout the curriculum. Teachers used test data to identify students' individual instructional needs and provided help sessions. We implemented reading strategies and posted examples of Star Writing in the halls each week. Students checked out more books than ever from the Media Center. The Poetry Club met weekly. Administrators visited classrooms frequently to support teaching and learning. Teachers visited their peers' classrooms and observed each other in action. As a result, staff worked to help students make connections between subjects, school experiences and the real world.

Still we face the following challenges: we must encourage students to enroll in additional science courses in order to prepare for the jobs of the 21st century. We must improve students' oral and written communication skills. We must provide students with opportunities to apply mathematics to real work situations.

Our staff wants every student at GHS to achieve his or her full potential. We want all our students to leave here with everything that they need to Follow Your Star.

Paul Shealy, Principal Brenda Zwart, SIC Chair

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	66	126	12				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	93.8%	84.9%	91.7%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	86.5%	100.0%				
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	82.5%	81.7%	91.7%				

<sup>\*</sup>Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.